

Shannon information increase and rescue in friction

Lajos Diósi

Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics
H-1525 Budapest 114, POB 49, Hungary

February 2, 2008

Abstract

On the standard microscopic model of friction we confirm the common belief that the irreversible entropy production originates from the increase of Shannon information. We reveal that the reversible microscopic dynamics would continuously violate the Gibbsian interchangeability of molecules. The spontaneous restoration of interchangeability constitutes the mechanism of irreversibility. This is the main suggestion of the Letter. We also point out that the irreversible entropy can partially be rescued if the size of the medium is finite. The rescueable entropy is found to be proportional to the square of the Fisher statistical (also called thermodynamic) distance.

Introduction. It is commonly trusted that the thermodynamic entropy produced in irreversible processes is identical to the increase of the Shannon information in the underlying microdynamic process. A direct proof is yet missing. The microscopic dynamics is reversible, it preserves the Shannon information. We need a transparent model to resolve this fundamental paradox. In this Letter I discuss the mechanical friction of a thin ‘disc’ perpendicularly driven through a medium with small velocity V . The phenomenological model is a prototype for the whole theory of irreversible processes [1]. Accordingly, the disc moves against the frictional force $-\eta V$ where η is the friction constant. If the medium (reservoir) is in thermal equilibrium at inverse temperature β then the rate of thermodynamic entropy production can be written in this form:

$$\dot{S} = \eta \beta V^2 . \quad (1)$$

An elementary microscopic model will be considered where the medium is ideal gas of light molecules scattering elastically on both flat surfaces of

the disc. This is the Brownian motion microscopic model well-known from textbooks [2], applied this time to a disc instead of a ‘ball’ [3]. The model enables us to calculate the exact *reversible* evolution of the microscopic system. I will confirm that the thermodynamic entropy production rate (1) can indeed be identified as the rate $\dot{I}$ of Shannon information increase in an infinite medium:

$$\dot{I} = \dot{S} , \quad (2)$$

if the molecular interchangeability, which is being violated by each reversible collision on the disc, is being re-imposed (*à la* Gibbs). This appears to be a generic mechanism of irreversibility in the otherwise reversible dynamics.

The molecular interchangeability is less relevant if the medium is *finite*. Then, as we shall prove, the increase of Shannon information becomes less than the standard irreversible entropy production (1):

$$\dot{I} = \dot{S} - \dot{S}_{resc} . \quad (3)$$

In the given microscopic model, the rate of the *rescued* information (and entropy) will take the following form:

$$\dot{S}_{resc} \equiv \dot{I}_{resc} = \chi \dot{S} , \quad (4)$$

where $\dot{S}$ is the standard irreversible entropy production rate (1) and the rescue factor χ is the ratio of the already interacted molecules within the whole reservoir.

Shannon information. Let the medium be ideal gas of N identical molecules of mass m . The initial distribution of the momenta $p_1, \dots, p_N$ is the thermal equilibrium distribution at inverse temperature β :

$$\rho_0(p_1, \dots, p_N) = \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2m} \sum_{r=1}^N p_r^2 \right] . \quad (5)$$

The molecules collide on the disc elastically (reversibly). The mean inter-collision time τ is assumed to be independent of V which is a good approximation for small velocities V . In a single collision, the momentum change of the given molecule is approximately the following:

$$p_r \rightarrow 2mV - 2p_r , \quad (6)$$

provided the molecules are much lighter than the disc. In a short yet macroscopic interval $\Delta t \gg \tau$, the average momentum transfer to the medium is

$2mV\Delta t/\tau$. Therefore the average frictional force is $-2mV/\tau$ while the friction constant takes this simple form:

$$\eta = \frac{2m}{\tau} . \quad (7)$$

(For simplicity, we request that no molecules will scatter twice or more. It also means that the mass of the medium is being *consumed* by the collisions at rate $\eta/2$. Then, in a finite reservoir of mass $M_R = Nm$, the friction process would be cut at time $2M_R/\eta$.)

After time Δt , the equilibrium distribution is shifted:

$$\rho_{\Delta t}(p_1, \dots, p_N) = \rho_0(p_1 - \bar{p}_1, \dots, p_N - \bar{p}_N) , \quad (8)$$

where $\bar{p}_r$ is the mean momentum transfer caused by collision to the r 'th molecule. Obviously, the Shannon information has been preserved by the collisions. On the other hand, we know from the thermodynamics of friction that *there is* a definite entropy production (1). We suspect that an ultimate information increase comes from the undistinguishability of the molecules. We assume that knowledge is leaking out, regarding *which molecule scattered on the disc and which did not*. Accordingly, the true distribution $\rho_{\Delta t}$ of the medium must be the re-symmetrized projection of the post-collision distribution (8) over all permutations π of the N molecules:

$$\rho_{\Delta t}(p_1, \dots, p_N) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi} \rho_0(p_{\pi(1)} - \bar{p}_1, \dots, p_{\pi(N)} - \bar{p}_N) . \quad (9)$$

We define the increment of the Shannon information (counted in $\ln 2$ bit units) during the interval Δt :

$$\Delta I = - \int [\rho_{\Delta t} \ln \rho_{\Delta t} - \rho_0 \ln \rho_0] \frac{d^N p}{(2\pi m \beta)^{N/2}} . \quad (10)$$

Using the Eqs.(5,9), I have derived the Taylor-expansion upto the lowest non-vanishing order of the momentum transfers:

$$\Delta I = \frac{\beta}{2m} \left[\sum_{r=1}^N \bar{p}_r^2 - \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{r=1}^N \bar{p}_r \right)^2 \right] . \quad (11)$$

The two terms on the R.H.S. correspond to macroscopic quantities. There are two relationships, respectively. The first is the balance between the

average energy increase of the medium and the energy dissipated by the friction force:

$$\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{r=1}^N \bar{p}_r^2 = \eta V \times V \Delta t . \quad (12)$$

Similarly, there is an average momentum balance as well:

$$\sum_{r=1}^N \bar{p}_r = \eta V \times \Delta t . \quad (13)$$

Let us insert the above two relationships into (11), yielding the following rate of information increase:

$$\frac{\Delta I}{\Delta t} = \eta \beta V^2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta \Delta t}{2M_R} \right) . \quad (14)$$

This is the central result of the Letter. It confirms the equality (2) of irreversible information (14) and entropy (1) productions in *infinite* mass reservoirs ($M_R = Nm = \infty$).

Information rescue. In a finite reservoir, the Eq.(14) contains a non-stationary correction, we call it the *rescued* information. Its rate reads:

$$\frac{\Delta I_{resc}}{\Delta t} = \eta \beta V^2 \frac{\eta \Delta t}{2M_R} . \quad (15)$$

This equation is equivalent with the Eq.(4) if we set $\chi = \frac{\eta \Delta t}{2M_R}$ which is indeed the ratio of the consumed mass of molecules to the total mass of the reservoir. In conventional system-reservoir setups the mass M_R of the reservoir is kept constant. Yet we can consider non-static setups where the reservoir mass is allocated dynamically, i.e., varying with time. We shall therefore introduce a monotone function $M_R(t)$ with fixed initial $M_R(0) = 0$ and final values $M_R(t_{tot}) = M_{Rtot}$, where t_{tot} is the total considered time of the process. (The allocation rate $\dot{M}_R$ should never fall below the consumption rate $\dot{M}_R \geq \eta/2$. In the rest of the Letter we shall understand this condition, without mentioning it again.) The function $M_R(t)$ will govern the gradual *allocation* of the medium to the friction process. A discretized interpretation is the following. We divide the total time and reservoir into small yet macroscopic parts. In each short interval $[t, t + \Delta t]$ in turn, a small part $\Delta M_R(t) = M_R(t + \Delta t) - M_R(t)$ of the total mass M_{Rtot} is used as medium: the small medium is brought into contact with the disc at the beginning of the small period and removed at the end of it, to be replaced by the forthcoming small medium *e.t.c.*, until the whole period $[0, t_{tot}]$ and

the total reservoir mass M_{Rtot} are both exhausted. To the first short period $[0, \Delta t]$, in particular, we allocate a small mass $M_R(\Delta t) \approx \dot{M}_R(0)\Delta t$. Substituting M_R by $\dot{M}_R(0)\Delta t$, the rate (15) of the rescued information becomes stationary on the macroscopic time scale:

$$\dot{I}_{resc} = \eta\beta V^2 \frac{\eta}{2\dot{M}_R} . \quad (16)$$

We can extend this differential relationship for all later time. Invoking the Eq.(4), we can read out the rescue factor for the case of time-dependent reservoir allocation:

$$\chi = \frac{\eta}{2\dot{M}_R} . \quad (17)$$

This is the ratio of the reservoir's consumption rate $\eta/2$ with respect to its allocation rate $\dot{M}_R$.

An optimization of the rescued information (entropy) is straightforward. We are going to maximize the total rescued information:

$$I_{resc} \equiv S_{resc} = \eta\beta \int_0^{t_{tot}} V^2 \frac{\eta}{2\dot{M}_R} dt , \quad (18)$$

by varying $M_R(t)$ at fixed total reservoir mass M_{Rtot} . The Euler-Lagrange equation yields $\dot{M}_R = \text{const} \times V$. The optimum reservoir allocation $M_R(t)$ is thus proportional to the length $\int_0^t V dt$ of the disc trajectory. We should allocate equal reservoir masses over equal lengths of the driven trajectory of the disc. For the special case of stationary driving $V = \text{const}$, the optimum allocation is also stationary: $\dot{M}_R \equiv M_{Rtot}/t_{tot}$. The optimum ratio (17) of the rescued/standard information (entropy) takes this form:

$$\chi = \frac{\eta t_{tot}}{2M_{Rtot}} . \quad (19)$$

Reservoir-driven process. We apply our results to the case when we do not directly drive the disc. Rather we change slowly the velocity $V_R(t)$ of the medium and only the frictional force $-\eta(V - V_R)$ will drive the disc. All previous equations remain valid if V is substituted by $V - V_R$ which can be further expressed from the Newton equation $M\dot{V} = -\eta(V - V_R)$ where M is the disc mass. The resulting substitution has thus to be $V \rightarrow -\frac{M}{\eta}\dot{V}$. The thermodynamic entropy production rate (1) takes this form:

$$\dot{S} = \beta \frac{M^2}{\eta} \dot{V}^2 , \quad (20)$$

and for the rescued information (entropy) rate (16) we obtain:

$$\dot{I}_{resc} = \beta M^2 \dot{V}^2 \frac{1}{2\dot{M}_R} . \quad (21)$$

It is remarkable that the friction constant η canceled! The rescued information in the reservoir-driven process is universally independent of the temporal scales. (The rescue factor χ will, however, keep its η -dependence (17).) The optimization of the rescued information (entropy) is again straightforward. We minimize the total rescued information:

$$I_{resc} \equiv S_{resc} = \beta M^2 \int_0^{t_{tot}} \dot{V}^2 \frac{1}{2\dot{M}_R} dt \quad (22)$$

at fixed total reservoir mass M_{Rtot} . The variation of the allocation function $M_R(t)$ yields the condition $\dot{M}_R = \text{const} \times \dot{V}$. The optimum reservoir allocation $M_R(t)$ is proportional to the velocity change $V(t) - V(0)$ of the disc. For the special case of constant acceleration $\dot{V} = \text{const}$, the optimum allocation is stationary: $\dot{M}_R \equiv M_{Rtot}/t_{tot}$. The optimum rescue factor is the same (19) as for the externally driven disc.

Finally we give an explicit interpretation for the ‘timelessness’ of the rescued information (21). We note that the velocity V of the reservoir-driven disc is an equilibrium thermodynamic variable itself. It is tending to equilibrate with the medium’s velocity V_R . In equilibrium it has a definite fluctuation σ_V which is also a thermodynamic quantity: $\sigma_V^2 = 1/\beta M$. This gives rise to the notion of *statistical length* ℓ [4], also called thermodynamic length [5]:

$$d\ell = \frac{dV}{\sigma_V} , \quad (23)$$

rescaling the parameter length dV in absolute units of equilibrium thermodynamics. Let us express the evolution in function of the statistical length ℓ instead of the time t . Replacing (21), we obtain the following result for the rescued entropy rate:

$$I'_{resc} \equiv S'_{resc} = \frac{M}{2M'_R} , \quad (24)$$

where the apostrophes stand for ℓ -derivatives. The maximum of the rescued entropy is obtained by variation of the reservoir allocation function $M_R(\ell)$ between the fixed endpoints. The resulting optimum is $M_R(\ell) = \text{const} \times \ell$. In the thermodynamic length, the optimum rescued entropy rate (24) is

constant and the total rescued entropy becomes a simple quadratic function of the total statistical length:

$$I_{resc} \equiv S_{resc} = \frac{M}{2M_{Rtot}} \ell_{tot}^2 . \quad (25)$$

It depends exclusively on the *equilibrium* thermodynamics of the disc–reservoir frictional interaction.

Discussion. On the common microscopic model of friction we have pointed out that the standard thermodynamic entropy production derives exactly from the increase of Shannon information. The well-known discrepancy with the microscopic reversibility has been resolved in a natural way. The resolution comes from a related discrepancy, revealed by the Letter: The microscopic model is inevitably violating the Gibbsian interchangeability of molecules. The recovery, i.e., the re-symmetrization of the phase-space density is obviously irreversible. This is *the* mechanism of Shannon information increase and of macroscopic entropy production. (The role of interchangeability in the irreversibility of system-reservoir interactions has independently been noticed for a quantum informatic model of non-thermal equilibration by Ziman *et al.* [6].) The first proof of perfect coincidence between the Shannon information and the phenomenological entropy production in a common irreversible process has been achieved by the present Letter. The phenomenology of friction is structurally identical to the model of general irreversible processes [1]. We therefore incline to think that our results reflects general features of irreversible processes.

We have also shown that the information increase falls with the size of the medium. A certain portion of the standard entropy production can thus be rescued in finite reservoirs. The Gibbsian molecular interchangeability, having been violated locally, will be recovered by a process propagating in space. The identity of the distinguished molecules (i.e. of those which ‘took part’ in friction) will be smashed gradually over bigger and bigger parts of the surroundings. It is clear that this propagation is cut by the walls of the reservoir though temporal aspects (if any) of the propagation have not been discussed at all.

Entropy rescue might remain pure speculation for static reservoirs. The Letter has discussed time-dependent reservoir allocations. An externally driven ‘object’ in a medium at rest as well as an object driven merely by the frictional force in a moving medium have been considered. The optimum reservoir allocation is proportional to the real length of the object trajectory in the first case, and to the statistical (thermodynamical) length in the second case. The second result gives an exact microscopic interpretation

for the role of thermodynamic length in irreversible process optimization. This may be a crucial step in the longstanding complex research [4, 5, 7] to illuminate the significance of Fisher’s statistical distance in statistics, control, thermodynamics, and quantum information.

This work was supported by the Hungarian OTKA Grant 32640.

References

- [1] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1982).
- [2] A. Isihara, *Statistical Physics* (Academic Press, New York–London, 1971).
- [3] Our present results can be generalized for the ‘ball’ at the additional cost (spared by the Letter) of using the joint phase-space distribution of the molecular momenta *and the coordinates* since the reversible description of the collisions needs the coordinates, too.
- [4] R.A. Fisher, Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh **42**, 321 (1922). W.K.Wootters, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 357(1981); S.L. Braunstein and C.M. Caves, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3439 (1994).
- [5] F.Weinhold, Phys. Today **29**, 23 (1976); G.Ruppeiner, Phys.Rev. **A20**, 1608 (1979); L.Diósi, G.Forgács, B.Lukács, and H.L.Frisch, Phys.Rev. **A29**, 3343 (1984).
- [6] M.Ziman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **A65** 402105 (2002).
- [7] P. Salamon and R.S. Berry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 (1983) 1127; B. Andresen and J.M. Gordon, Phys. Rev. **E50**, 4346 (1994); P.Salamon and J.D.Nulton, Europhys. Lett. **42** 571 (1998); L.Diósi and P.Salamon, p286 in: *Thermodynamics of Energy Conversion and Transport*, eds.: S.Sieniutycz and A.deVos (Springer, Berlin, 2000).